

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,488	06/23/2003	Tatyana N. Andryushchenko	42P16161	1128	
7590 07/24/2006			EXAMINER		
Todd M. Becker			GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
BLAKELY, S	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2812		

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A Lateran Antion			(O ET A)
Advisory Action	10/602,488	ANDRYUSHCHEN	OETAL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			·
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a nature and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in Ince with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply in	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for e later than SIX MONTHS from the mail or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T 9 706.07(f).	ling date of the final rejecti HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of th set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office la may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amou e shortened statutory period for reply of ter than three months after the mailing (b).	nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Off date of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in corfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exa Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in tappeal; and/or	consideration and/or search (see Nelow);	OTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally i	rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		a timely filed emandm	ant consoling the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separat	e, umery med amending	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22 and 34-44.	a) 🔯 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 🤈 rovided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	but before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>nearly</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ary and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the claims after	r entry is below or attac	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s). <u>7/5/06</u>	

Lynne A. Gurley Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2812

13. Other: ____.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The inclusion of the new limitation "that seals the edges thereof" into the independent claims requires further search and consideration, since limitation was not previously considered.